

MARRIAGE DISSOLUTION

In Re the Petition of Louis and Carole Santoro for Visitation Pursuant to Minn. Stat. §257.022 (Member of MSBA Family Law Section Amicus Committee), 594 N.W.2d 174 (Minn. 1999) [**grandparent visitation**]

Van Meveren v. Van Meveren, 603 N.W.2d 671 (Minn. Ct. App. 1999) [**intervention as of right of adult child in parents' ongoing custody dispute as to minor siblings**]

Havrilak v. Havrilak, 1999 WL 261732 (Minn. App.) [**holding that a party to a dissolution is entitled to compensation for funds taken, after the valuation date, from a marital account to pay the other party's attorney fees**]

Newton v. Een, 1997 WL 570944 (Minn. App.) [**holding that it is not an abuse of discretion to allocate a lesser amount of marital debt to the party which is awarded sole physical custody of the minor child**]

Roban v. Roban, 1997 WL 471300 (Minn. App.) (unpublished) [**holding that a party should not be required to pay child support if the award would force that party to move to less expensive apartment in a less safe neighborhood**]

Lofstrom v. Lofstrom, 1997 WL 118121 (Minn. App.) (unpublished) [**holding that a stipulated agreement to renegotiate maintenance upon termination of obliger's employment did not warrant cessation of maintenance nor prohibit award of arrearages**]

Heffelfinger v. Heffelfinger, 1996 WL 453562 (Minn. App.) (unpublished) [**holding that the existence of ambiguity in a dissolution judgment is a legal question which must be resolved using extrinsic evidence**]

Silbaugh v. Silbaugh, 543 N.W.2d 639 (Minn. 1996) [**Post decree custody dispute where mother seeks to remove children to another state**]

Michaud v. Michaud, 1996 WL 344992, (Minn. App.) (unpublished) [**holding that a trial court may not prejudice a party by refusing to consider favorable expert testimony; holding further that a trial court must make detailed findings of the reason for it's rejection of the recommendation of a custody study**]

Schumacher v. Schumacher, 1996 WL 469341 (unpublished) (Minn. App.) [**holding that an obligor cannot be required to fulfill an obligation regarding a spouses lien on a marital asset absent one of the triggering events**]