

## MARRIAGE DISSOLUTION

---

In Re the Petition of Louis and Carole Santoro for Visitation Pursuant to Minn. Stat. §257.022 (Member of MSBA Family Law Section Amicus Committee), 594 N.W.2d 174 (Minn. 1999) [**grandparent visitation**]

Van Meveren v. Van Meveren, 603 N.W.2d 671 (Minn. Ct. App. 1999) [**intervention as of right of adult child in parents' ongoing custody dispute as to minor siblings**]

Havrilak v. Havrilak, 1999 WL 261732 (Minn. App.) [**holding that a party to a dissolution is entitled to compensation for funds taken, after the valuation date, from a marital account to pay the other party's attorney fees**]

Newton v. Een, 1997 WL 570944 (Minn. App.) [**holding that it is not an abuse of discretion to allocate a lesser amount of marital debt to the party which is awarded sole physical custody of the minor child**]

Roban v. Roban, 1997 WL 471300 (Minn. App.) (unpublished) [**holding that a party should not be required to pay child support if the award would force that party to move to less expensive apartment in a less safe neighborhood**]

Lofstrom v. Lofstrom, 1997 WL 118121 (Minn. App.) (unpublished) [**holding that a stipulated agreement to renegotiate maintenance upon termination of obliger's employment did not warrant cessation of maintenance nor prohibit award of arrearages**]

Heffelfinger v. Heffelfinger, 1996 WL 453562 (Minn. App.) (unpublished) [**holding that the existence of ambiguity in a dissolution judgment is a legal question which must be resolved using extrinsic evidence**]

Silbaugh v. Silbaugh, 543 N.W.2d 639 (Minn. 1996) [**Post decree custody dispute where mother seeks to remove children to another state**]

Michaud v. Michaud, 1996 WL 344992, (Minn. App.) (unpublished) [**holding that a trial court may not prejudice a party by refusing to consider favorable expert testimony; holding further that a trial court must make detailed findings of the reason for it's rejection of the recommendation of a custody study**]

Schumacher v. Schumacher, 1996 WL 469341 (unpublished) (Minn. App.) [**holding that an obligor cannot be required to fulfill an obligation regarding a spouses lien on a marital asset absent one of the triggering events**]